



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,091	09/04/2003	James J. LeKachman	47004.000216	5822
21967 7590 01/27/2010 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109				
EXAMINER				
TRAN, HAI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3694				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/27/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/654,091

Applicant(s)

LEKACHMAN, JAMES J.

Examiner

HAI TRAN

Art Unit

3694

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on September 29, 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/22)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This **Final Office Action** is in response to the Amendments/Remarks filed on September 29, 2009, for application, titled: "System and Method for Financial Instrument Pre-Qualification and Offering".
2. Claims 1, 12, 14, and 31 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-31 remain pending and have been examined.

Priority

3. This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/407,696, filed on 09/04/2002.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,797,133) ("Jones") in view of Cunningham (U.S. Patent No. 7,310,617) ("Cunningham").

7. **Regarding to Claim 14**, Jones teaches a method for offering financial instruments to pre-qualified consumers (see Jones, col. 4, lines 18-54), comprising:

receiving information related to personal identification information associated with a consumer who is a customer of at least one of a financial institution and an entity associated with the financial institution, the consumer personal identification information being received prior to a consumer contact (see Jones, col. 5, lines 5-59, Figure 1);

inquiring a third party based on the received information related to the consumer personal identification information whether the consumer has been pre-qualified for a financial instrument, the financial instrument associated with the financial institution, wherein the pre-qualification is based at least in part on a first consumer file (see Jones, col. 3, lines 37-42 "pre-approve or pre-qualify", col. 5, lines 66-5 of col. 6 "financial information stored in the credit bureau data processing system", Figure 2/block 52-60);

receiving pre-qualification data from the third party wherein the pre-qualification data relates to a determination of whether a consumer identifier associated with the consumer is contained in a suppression database (see Jones, col. 6, lines 2 "potential borrower's social security number to extract financial information stored in credit bureau data processing system"), wherein the suppression database comprises a plurality of second consumer files, each of the second consumer files linked to a unique consumer identifier, wherein the second consumer files comprise suppression information relating

to a determination that the consumer associated with the second consumer file is no longer qualified to be offered one or more of the pre-qualified financial instruments stored in the first consumer file (see Jones, col. 6, lines 29-48 "approved, rejected, not determined", Figure 2/blocks 62-66); and

offering the consumer the financial instrument for which he or she is pre-qualified, if the received pre-qualification data from the third party indicates that the consumer is pre-qualified for a financial instrument (see Jones, col. 6, lines 64-32 of col. 7, Figure 2/blocks 62, 76-82),

wherein the standards for the consumer pre-qualification are set by the financial institution (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 22-67 "grade/score combination"; Figure 2/blocks 48-50, Jones, col. 3, lines 5-10 "(11) according to criteria provided by a lender", col. 6, lines 29-30 "lender's lending criteria is accessed").

Jones teaches a system and method for automatically determining the approval status of a potential borrower of a loan including whether the potential borrower is pre-approved or pre-qualified for a loan. Jones discloses the "criteria" provided by a lender (see col. 3, lines 5-10, col. 6, lines 29-30), but does not explicitly disclose the standards for the consumer pre-qualification associated with financial institutions.

Cunningham teaches a method and system for presenting financial card offers to potential customers including the financial institution's selection standards (see col. 4, lines 32-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the financial institution selection criteria (or standards for consumer

pre-qualification set by financial institutions) as taught by Cunningham into the automatic loan system/method for pre-approved or pre-qualified potential borrower as taught by Jones and as both Jones and Cunningham are direct toward the field of offering financial instruments (credit cards, loans) to potential pre-qualified/pre-approved consumers, no unpredicted results are expected.

8. **Regarding to Claim 15**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 14, wherein pre-qualification of the consumer comprises checking at least one of the credit rating of the consumer, the income level of the consumer, the debt level of the consumer and the payment history of the consumer with the financial institution (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 59-3 of col. 5, "financial risk rating", col. 5, lines 12-6 of col. 6 "determining a rating for an applicant").

9. **Regarding to Claim 16**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 15, wherein pre-qualification of the consumer occurs prior to receipt of the consumer personal identification information (see Cunningham, between Figure 3/element 66 and Figure 4/element 70).

10. **Regarding to Claims 17-18**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches wherein the third party maintains consumer information in files indexed by a unique identifier, and wherein the unique identifier relates to the personal identification information of the

consumer (see Cunningham, col. 3, lines 10-11 "unique identifier", col. 5, lines 12-38 "term data are organized in a matrix").

11. **Regarding to Claim 19**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 18, further comprising offering the financial instrument to the consumer only if the consumer credit rating meets or exceeds a pre-determined condition (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 35-58).

12. **Regarding to Claims 20-21**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches wherein the financial instrument comprises any financial instrument for which credit information relating to the consumer is predictive, and wherein the financial instrument comprises at least one of a car loan, boat loan, loan on investment property, margin account, business loan, second mortgage, home equity line of credit, consumer loan, transaction card, credit card, loyalty card, co-branded credit card, debit card, rewards card, smart card, mutual fund or insurance (see Cunningham, Abstract "credit card, debit card". The Examiner notes that the "credit" in Cunningham is the same as the "loan" in Applicant's invention).

13. **Regarding to Claim 22**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 21, wherein the consumer is offered the financial instrument only if one or more pre-determined conditions are met (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 35-58).

14. **Regarding to Claim 23**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 22, wherein the consumer personal identification information is obtained when the consumer makes contact comprises a purchase from a merchant (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 13-15 “the process begins when a user completes an application by providing personal and financial information”).

15. The Examiner notes that Cunningham does not expressly disclose “a purchase from a merchant”. However, it would not have made any difference since the purpose of this claim is to obtain the consumer personal identification information, Cunningham’s teachings includes obtaining personal and financial information (see col. 4, lines 7-21).

16. **Regarding to Claim 24**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 22, wherein the consumer personal identification information is obtained through contact comprises one of a communication from a business partner of the financial institution or a communication directly to the financial institution (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 7-20 “the process begins when an applicant completes an application”; Figure 2/elements 40-50).

17. **Regarding to Claim 25**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 24, wherein consumer personal identification information is obtained through a telephone call or contact over a computer network (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 7-20 “the servers at the web/online site 44”; Figure 2/element 44).

18. **Regarding to Claim 26**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 25, further comprising offering the financial instrument only upon the acceptance of any terms and conditions related to the financial instrument by the consumer (see Cunningham, col. 6, lines 7-28 "a summary of each offer may be presented in the form of a menu from which the applicant may make a selection to review details of the offer", "If the applicant accepts an offer").

19. **Regarding to Claim 27**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 25, further comprising offering the financial instrument to the consumer only if the identity of the consumer is authenticated (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 19-21 "The servers at the web/online site prompt the applicant for the required information").

20. **Regarding to Claim 28**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 27, wherein authenticating the consumer comprises favorable comparison of stored consumer information to consumer personal identification information (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 7-21 "facilitate communication between potential financial card customers and participating financial institutions", "The servers at the web/online site prompt the applicant for the required information and then process it". The Examiner notes processing applicant information is the same as comparing stored consumer information to consumer personal identification information).

21. **Regarding to Claim 29**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 28, wherein the consumer personal identification information comprises at least one of a calling telephone number, a PIN, a password, a biometric or any other information known or held by the consumer and generally not known to the public that can be used to authenticate the consumer (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 7-21 "telephone number").

22. **Regarding to Claim 30**, Jones in view of Cunningham teaches the method of claim 28, wherein authentication is performed by the third party (see Cunningham, col. 4, lines 22-34 "grading system"; Figure 2/element 48).

23. **Regarding to Claim 31**, this claim corresponds to claim 14 except that it includes the limitation of "wherein further the financial instrument comprises any financial instrument for which credit information relating to the consumer is predictive" (see discussion in claims 20-21 above).

24. **Regarding to Claims 1-13**, these claims include the necessary processors and components for implementing the method claims 14-31 and have the same elements and limitations except that claim 1 also includes the limitation of "wherein the pre-qualification data relates to at least one financial instrument associated with ..." (see Jones, col. 3, lines 4-31 "lender criteria", col. 6, lines 2-27 "borrower's social security

number", "passwords and/or commands"). Hence, they are rejected under the same rationale provided in claims 14-31.

Conclusion

25. Claims 1-31 are rejected.

26. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

27. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **HAI TRAN** whose telephone number is (571)272-7364. The examiner can normally be reached on **M-F, 9-4 PM**.

29. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

30. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. T./
Examiner, Art Unit 3694

/James P Trammell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694